

**MINUTES OF THE  
NAPA COUNTY TECHNICAL ADVISORY PANEL  
REGULAR MEETING**

❖  
Wednesday, January 26, 2011  
5:30 p.m.

I. Call to Order; Roll Call.

**The Napa County Technical Advisory Panel met during regular session on Wednesday, January 26, 2011 at 5:30 p.m. with the following members present: Chairperson Chip Bouril; Dennis Scherzinger; Dennis Rinehart; Rolf Ohlemutz; Barbara Stafford; Vice-Chairperson Bob Zlomke; and Chris Craiker. Bill Bennett was excused.**

II. Election of Officers.

**The Panel elected Bob Zlomke as Chairperson and Dennis Rinehart as Vice-Chairperson for the 2011 calendar year.**

<b>BB</b>	<b>PB</b>	<b>CB</b>	<b>CC</b>	<b>RO</b>	<b>DR</b>	<b>DS</b>	<b>BS</b>	<b>BZ</b>
<b>X</b>								

III. Adoption of 2011 Meeting Calendar.

**Calendar adopted as presented.**

<b>BB</b>	<b>PB</b>	<b>CB</b>	<b>CC</b>	<b>RO</b>	<b>DR</b>	<b>DS</b>	<b>BS</b>	<b>BZ</b>
<b>X</b>								

IV. Public Comment.

**None.**

V. Approve Minutes of the December 8, 2010 Regular Meeting.

**Minutes approved.**

<b>BB</b>	<b>PB</b>	<b>CB</b>	<b>CC</b>	<b>RO</b>	<b>DR</b>	<b>DS</b>	<b>BS</b>	<b>BZ</b>
<b>X</b>								

V. Review of 35% Contract 3 – Bypass Plans and Possible Finding of Consistency.

**Julie Lucido, Project Manager, opened the item with a brief history of the bypass plans and distributed the City of Napa’s initial concept rendering of the Oxbow/Bypass, which will now be constructed separately. Present for the meeting were Bert Brown, Project Manager and Will Doyle, Tech Lead, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; Mort McMillen and Mara McMillen – McMillen, Inc. and Chas Filanowicz and Linda Cyra-Korsgaard, CH2M Hill. Mr. McMillen went over a current rendering of the bypass via projector. The item was opened for discussion. The Panel’s comments will be forwarded to the design agencies and to the Army Corps of Engineers (See Attachment “A”). The comments will be returned with responses when the 65% plans are brought to the Panel for their review and comment.**

<b>BB</b>	<b>PB</b>	<b>CB</b>	<b>CC</b>	<b>RO</b>	<b>DR</b>	<b>DS</b>	<b>BS</b>	<b>BZ</b>
<b>X</b>			<b>N</b>					

- VII. Review and Discussion of Farnum W. Kerr Memorial Bench.  
**Julie Lucido, Project Manager, went over a diagram in the agenda packet that detailed the location of a bench with a memorial plaque within a City of Napa proposed pocket park at the southwest corner of Soscol Avenue and Third Street. The Panel would like to convey to the City that the bench should be orientated towards the River and floodwall and the color should be a dark green. Project Manager Lucido added that the proposed verbiage for the bench plaque would be discussed with Mr. Kerr's family.**
- VIII. Follow Up on Process for Flood Project Interpretive Signage.  
**Rick Thomasser, Watershed & Flood Control Operations Manager, suggested it would be beneficial for the Panel to have an ad hoc subcommittee to work with City and District staff on implementing interpretive signage. The Panel appointed an ad hoc subcommittee consisting of Members Bouril, Stafford and Rinehart to recommend guidelines for interpretive signage.**
- BB PB CB CC RO DR DS BS BZ**  
**X**
- IX. Agenda Items for Future Meetings.  
**None were mentioned.**
- X. General Comments from the Panel. (This is an opportunity for Panel members to informally discuss items and ask questions.)  
**Member Craiker asked the status of the vacant position on the Panel. Project Manger Lucido replied that the proposed appointment of applicant and previous Panel member Tony Norris was scheduled for the February 1 Flood Board meeting.**
- XI. Confirm Next Meeting Date of February 23, 2011.  
**Meeting date confirmed.**
- XII. Adjourn.  
**Adjourned to the next Regular Meeting of the Technical Advisory Panel on Wednesday, February 23, 2011, at 5:30 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Flood District Conference Room.**

---

BOB ZLOMKE  
Chairperson

ATTEST:

---

JULIE LUCIDO  
Secretary

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
GREG MORGAN  
Supervising Office Assistant

KEY

Vote: BB = Bill Bennett; PB = Phill Blake; CB = Chip Bouril; CC = Chris Craiker RO = Rolf Ohlemutz;  
DR = Dennis Rinehart; DS = Dennis Scherzinger; BS = Barbara Stafford; BZ = Bob Zlomke

Notations under Vote: N = No; X = Excused; A = Abstained

## ATTACHMENT "A"

### Technical Advisory Panel Comments – January 26, 2011

#### Review of 35% Contract 3 – Bypass Plans

Comment
Is an energy dissipater necessary? Is it better to have an area of exposed cobble or concrete benches that are offset against each other? Could a saw-tooth type weir could be used?
What is the duration of the 25-30-year flows in which the dissipater is required? What is the expected duration of flow within the channel for various events? Does it happen long enough to do damage downstream? What happens with smaller discharges? What is the actual situation that leads up to that critical flow? How will the debris from a large storm be handled?
Can the area under the bridges be surfaced with smooth concrete?
Is the drainage culvert from the energy dissipater going to have a Corps' flapgate or a duckbill?
Can the turf grass extend further under the bridges for both cost savings and aesthetics?
Can the low flow channel alignment be modified to either go down the center of the bypass or be tucked along the north edge of the channel?
What is the elevation of the turn-around, relative to the tides?
Can some kind of zero-scape or energy/water reduction landscape be used?
The fire trail, which is 12', goes down to a 60' cul-de-sac. Has anyone checked with the City Fire Department? They might want a larger one.
There should be an allowance for some turf under the bridges.
Are there other alternatives to accomplish the energy dissipation that are more "people-friendly"?
Why does an area on the east side of McKinstry Street have to be turf? It could be native grasses or something colorful with very low water use. What I'm trying to see achieved more is low water, less irrigation, more native material.
Akin to Hennessy and all the other facilities associated with drainage within the County that the area just outside of McKinstry Street be fitted with some sort of device hooked up to OneRain so that you would be able to monitor the level of water as it goes over the weir and provide a maintenance log to determine when there is a problem.
Could the grade at McKinstry Street be graded differently to avoid having the dissipater?
Not enthusiastic about turf grass. The City of Napa discourages the planting of turf grass in order to save water. This should be given more thought.
The future pump station is depicted in the wrong place. Was it moved from one side of Soscol to the other?
Has there been any modeling of sediment deposition in the bypass during use? Are there any expectations?