REGULAR MEETING

NAPA COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Thursday       MARCH 14, 2019       12:15 PM

LOCATION: NAPA COUNTY HALL OF JUSTICE
1125 THIRD STREET, 2ND FLOOR, Napa
PROBATION TRAINING ROOM

1. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC COMMENT
   Members of the public are welcome to make comment; however, any proposed action by a
   member of the public cannot be acted upon at this meeting but can be considered at a
   future meeting where the item is part of the noticed agenda.

3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES - January 10, 2019

4. PROP 63 POSITION REVIEW

5. TRANSITIONAL AGE YOUTH (TAY) PROGRAM

6. NAPA POLICE DEPARTMENT CRIME ANALYST (ACTION ITEM) – Robert Plummer

7. REENTRY UPDATE

8. JAIL POPULATION REPORT

9. STATUS OF REALIGNMENT FROM PARTNERS

10. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

11. NEXT MEETING DATE:     June 13, 2019 at 12:15 PM
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP  
March 14, 2019 Meeting

Present: Ron Abernethy, Mary Butler, Bob Fleshman, Paul Gero, Yuka Kamiishi, John Robertson, Sue Kus

Not Present: Mark Boessenecker, Jim Diel, Allison Haley, Jose Dina, Barbara Nemko, Amanda Owens, Robert Plummer, Leigh Sharp, Brad Wagenknecht

Others Present: Mariana Betancourt, Amy Medina, Gary Pitkin, Karen Graff, Meredith Roussel, Scott Young

PUBLIC COMMENT
None

APPROVAL OF January 10, 2019 MINUTES
Motion: Ron Abernathy  
Second: Bob Fleshman  
Motion Approved

PROP 63 POSITION REVIEW
Obtained two positions, a Probation Officer and a District Attorney Investigator. Discussion of how the positions are utilized and validation of necessity. When the Court makes the order, The Probation Department is responsible for completing forms and sending out letters to the Court and the Department of Justice following contact with the Defendant and discussion of firearm ownership. An Automated Firearms System (AFS) report is obtained through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Thus far, the Probation Department has sent out 465 letters. Discussion of firearms findings, 20 guns legally owned at time of offense, either transferred or lost. The department currently has 2 guns in possession to be processed, and two warrants have been served. The numbers may be skewed slightly, as tracking began 2 months into the program.

The District Attorney investigator is full-time, starting August 28, 2018, and another position in May involved in Prop 63 warrants. They have worked on 92 cases effected by realignment. The uniqueness of this position, is focus on more intensive work pre-filing investigation. The goal is to pre-empt issues. Tom Deggerstrom is sorting out cases prior to dismiss some rather than filing, which saves time and money for the system. There were 3 referrals for the Prop 63. Interdepartmental coordination to investigate and execute the search. The collaboration between departments has been good. They expect an increase as it is rolled out. It is estimated 157 cases in a year.
TRANSITIONAL AGE YOUTH (TAY) PROGRAM
Pilot program for 18-21 year olds, for essentially a diversion type program. Thus far, there have been two cases and no time spent in Juvenile Hall, leaving an empty unit which has been held for this purpose. Discussion on brain development peaking at age 25/26 and possibly expanding the age. A recommendation was been made to make this an out of custody program and focus on local programs and intervention versus incarceration. Additionally would suggest working with families in tandem to help the transition back into the community and family life as a holistic approach.
District Attorney and Public Defender to discuss TAY program in depth following the CCP meeting.

NAPA POLICE DEPARTMENT CRIME ANALYST (ACTION ITEM )-ROBERT PLUMMER
Proposal and fund request to CCP to help with cost of Crime Analyst Position. Discussion of need for detailed analysis of data from various systems, show trends, and organize data. The output would be disseminated regularly to all agencies via reports on a frequency to be discussed and determined as requested and negotiated. This position would be on a 2-year contract, affording the agencies the ability to have a short-term period to assess the need/cost/and effectiveness without the commitment of a long-term hire.
Discussion of how information would be utilized in relation to AB-109 re-alignment goals.

Motion: John Robertson made a motion to approve the analyst position for at least two (2) years at a cost of approximately $130,000/year.
Second: Mary Butler
Vote: approved 4/1, Robert Plummer by telephone vote.

REENTRY UPDATE
The facility is not open yet. There were last minute construction delays. Anticipate opening in April.

JAIL POPULATION REPORT
No update

STATUS OF REALIGNMENT FROM PARTNERS
Courts–
No update
Public Defender-

There is a mental health diversion program statutory. There has not been much progress. Appears County is not in position to do a program of that sort in short term. Working to get courts to use existing Mental Health court to satisfy the statutory program. Discussion from private attorneys to establish clients with means to propose a separate and private, with psychiatric and programs. Continue to be an issue if County is not in compliance. Discussion of using prop 47 monies, too late to apply, and appropriateness of CCP to fund.

District Attorney-

No update

Board of Supervisors—

No update

Sheriff’s Office-

No update

Health and Human Services-

No update

Mental Health-

No update

Probation-

Hand out provided with January February Data. Discussion of same.

Napa Police Department—

No update

Schools-

No update

CEO—

No update

GEO Inc.-

Contract shelter project starting April 1, 2019, funded by HHSA grant. Serve up to twelve (12) people. Doing individuals Cogs, LSCMI. Looking forward to helping out with the shelter population.
Victim Witness-

Thank you to corrections for great communication on information to provide to victims. Introduction of Meredith Roussel, a new victim advocate.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Discussion:

Review next year's plan, any changes? Discuss 2018 recidivism rate, funding records tracking and update on positions.

Action items:

Vote on paying prop 63 positions and reentry positions for another year.

Motion to Adjourn: Bob Fleshman

Second:

Adjourned: 1:21 p.m.

NEXT MEETING DATE:

June 2019 at 12:15 PM
TO: COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
NAPA COUNTY BOARD

FROM: ROBERT PLUMMER, CHIEF OF POLICE / CCP BOARD MEMBER
NAPA POLICE DEPARTMENT

DATE: FEBRUARY 28, 2019

SUBJECT: CCP FUNDING PROPOSAL (BCP) – NPD CRIME ANALYS

REQUEST:
This memorandum seeks funding from our Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) for contractual services of a Northern California Regional Information Center (NCRIC) Crime Analyst assigned to the Napa Police Department (NPD) at a cost of approximately $130,000 annually.

JUSTIFICATION:
Napa County Law Enforcement Agencies (LEAs) are data rich and information poor. Through the good work of our Call Takers, Dispatchers, Community Service Officers, Police Officers and Deputy Sheriffs, we collect over 200,000 pieces of information annually which are stored in I/NetViewer and various modules within the I/LEADS Records Management System (RMS). Separately, additional information related to our law enforcement contacts from the Office of the District Attorney, Napa County Superior Court and Napa County Probation Department is entered into a disparate system that only communicates in a very limited fashion with I/LEADS. That said, we do not have an effective or systematic manner to review, organize, assess, sift, analyze or link existing data to generate actionable information and intelligence. Nor can we easily identify those problem people and problem places which require a disproportionate amount of law enforcement and criminal justice resources.

While NPD and the Napa Sheriff’s Department (NSD) utilize Intergraph’s I/LEADS to store our crime reports, arrest modules, citations, field contacts and the like, it has a limited analytical capability through BAIR Analytics’ Automated Tactical Analysis of Crime Regional Analysis and
Information Data Sharing (ATACRAIDS). Neither NPD nor any of our partners currently possess the experience or capacity to effectively data-mine our disparate systems to generate actionable intelligence.

In summary, we have an enormous amount of data, but no one currently trained and assigned to review, organize, assess, sift, analyze or link existing data to generate actionable information and intelligence. By contracting a NCRIC Crime Analyst assigned to NPD, we can more easily identify those individuals who are consuming a disproportionate amount of law enforcement and criminal justice resources. Identifying these individuals will allow us to better collectively assess root causes of the criminal behavior and seek the appropriate remedy to mitigate such behaviors.

**EXAMPLE OF WORK PRODUCT:**
By way of example and not limitation, the Crime Analyst would data mine I/LEADS, I/NetViewer, CJ Net (supervised release records and arrest warrants), open source intelligence, social media, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) release notifications, California Law Enforcement Teletype System (CLETS) and California Department of Justice’s Automated Prohibited Persons System (CA DOJ/APPS). The Crime Analyst will analyze information and intelligence to identify emerging crime trends, “Problem People and Problem Places,” prolific offenders, recently issued arrest warrants, out of compliance sex offenders, recently released high-risk offenders and the like that may pose either a public safety threat or threat to our community’s quality of life.

**RECOMMENDATION:**
My recommendation is to contribute CCP funding to assist in offsetting NPD’s initial cost of contracting a Crime Analyst through the Northern California Regional Intelligence Center (NCRIC) for a period of at least two (2) years at a cost of approximately $130,000/year. NCRIC, through its fiduciary (San Mateo County) will screen, select, hire, train and supervise the Crime Analyst while they work out of the Napa Police Department using NPD’s computer systems. The analyst can draw on the expertise of other NCRIC analysts, technical experts, and support services as required. The employee will be a contract employee with the City of Napa, not a City of Napa civil service-protected employee, affording us latitude in continued employment.

---

Probation Population:  
Chief Probation Officers of California (CPOC) hierarchy is used to define individuals who are formally supervised by the probation department on more than one supervision type. This count does not include those who have been deported.

CPOC Hierarchy
- PRCS
- Mandatory Supervision
- Formal Probation (includes felony and misdemeanor)

February Snapshot Active Offenders
- PRCS
- Mandatory Supervision
- Formal Probation

February Snapshot Warrants
- 932

PRCS Offenders Released

Mandatory Supervision Completions

1170(h)(a)/(b) Sentences
- Local Prison Only Sentences
- Split Sentences

PRCS Completions

Recidivism
Those who began a new formal supervision case during the reporting month and were previously supervised by the Probation Department under formal supervision at any time.

Formal Probation Completions
- Total Start
- Recidivated
Monitored Population:
The Probation Department also supervises various types of pre-sentence and informal probation. These offenders may or may not also be under formal supervision on another case.