

Napa County Continuum of Care
 2021 Continuum of Care Program Grants
RENEWAL PROJECT SCORING FACTORS
 2021 Rank & Review APPROVED 9.2.21

I. Overview

Threshold Requirements

All renewal projects are reviewed for the below factors, which are required but not scored. If the project is not compliant with any of the below threshold criteria, it is ineligible for CoC funding.

HUD Thresholds	The project is compliant with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule and Subsequent Notices and meets the threshold requirements outlined in the current Notice of Funding Availability.
Housing First	The project’s policies include a commitment to identifying and lowering barriers to housing.
Coordinated Entry	The project will participate in the Coordinated Entry System. Projects that have not agreed to participate in Coordinated Entry are not eligible for funding, unless the project is a domestic violence service agency or serving survivors of domestic violence.
HMIS Implementation	The project will enter data for all CoC-funded beds into HMIS (or parallel database for survivors of domestic violence)
Match	The agency has committed to match 25% of the grant.
Equal Access & Non-Discrimination	The project ensures equal access for all program participants regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, familial status or disability. The project complies with all federal and state civil rights and fair housing laws including the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Equal Access Rule.
Recent Financial Statement	Projects must provide the most recent audited financial statement, and single audit if applicable.

Scoring Factors

Factor	Possible	Points Earned
1. Project’s Work is Consistent with Community Needs	13	
2. Housing First	10	
3. Outcomes that Contribute to Improving System Performance on Measures Related to Successful Placement or Retention of Housing and Income and Benefits Growth	40	
4. Budget and Cost Effectiveness	15	
5. Agency/Collaborative Capacity	15	
6. HMIS	7	
Total	100	

II. Detail

1. Project’s Work is Consistent with Community Needs (10 points possible)

Does the project consider the severity of needs, barriers, and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants in their provision of services? Needs and vulnerabilities include: low or no income, current or past substance abuse, a history of victimization (e.g., domestic violence, sexual assault, childhood abuse), criminal histories, and chronic homelessness.

	Possible Points		Total Points
1a. Project prioritizes chronically homeless individuals or families.	5	<p>Award 5 points if project dedicates all of its beds to CH individuals and families. Award 3 points if project dedicates 75% or more of its beds to CH.</p> <p>Award 5 points if project that does not dedicate 75%+ of its beds to CH prioritizes all of its beds made</p>	

		available through turnover to CH individuals/families.	
1b. Severity of Needs: The majority of the individuals and families the project serves have severe needs: people with low/no income, active or past substance use, criminal records, survivors of domestic violence, LGBTQ, people who resist receiving services, people with significant challenges to their behavioral or medical health, people who heavily utilize public services, people who have been sleeping outdoors, and people who are unusually vulnerable to illness, death, or victimization.	3		
1c. Fair Housing and Safeguards for Special Populations: Award 5 points for programs that actively identify and eliminate client barriers and have specific procedures in place that ensure clients from over-represented and subpopulations have fair and equal access to the program. Including for people experiencing chronic homelessness, veterans, individuals with Limited English Proficiency (LEP), families with children, Transition-Aged Youth (TAY), older adults, individuals with disabilities, survivors of domestic violence, and persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local population.	5		

2. Housing First

(10 points possible)

The project accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System and does not reject referrals because participants have little to no income, current or past substance use, eviction history or poor credit, reasons related to domestic violence, or criminal history.

	Possible Points	Scale	Total Points
2a. Applicants are accepted regardless of sobriety or use of substances or completion of treatment. Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself (without other lease violations) is not considered a reason	2		

for eviction. The project does not drug test participants or require drug testing.			
2b. The project does not disqualify applicants for having too little or no income, poor credit, or eviction history.	2		
2c. The project does not disqualify applicants for reasons related to domestic violence (lack of a protective order, period of separation from abuser, law enforcement involvement, etc.)	2		
2d. Participation in services or program compliance (beyond what is statutorily mandated) is not a condition of housing tenancy.	2		
2e. The project does not conduct criminal background checks for applicants or participants, unless required by law or funding stream.	2		

3. Objective Outcomes that Contribute to Improving System Performance on Measures Related to Successful Placement or Retention of Housing and Income and Benefits Growth (40 points possible)

Keep in mind that outcomes will naturally be lower in a more difficult to serve population **such as chronically homeless people, homeless people with mental and/or addictive illnesses.**

	Possible Points	Scale	Total Points
3a. Is the project at capacity in serving the number of homeless people it is designed to serve?	10	10 pts. 90-100% 8pts. 85-89.9% 6pts. 80-84.9% 4pts. 75-79.9% 3pts. 70-74.9% 2pts. 65-69.9% 1pt. 60-64.9% 0pts. 0-59.9%	

<p>3b. Housing Stability (SPM 6 and 7): The percentage of formerly homeless individuals who remain housed in the HUD permanent housing project for at least twelve months is at least 80%. [Note: Individuals who have been in program fewer than 12 months but remain in housing count do not count in this measure.] For Rapid Rehousing Projects, the percentage of homeless individuals who exit to/in a form of permanent housing. [Note: this is calculated at exit.]</p> <p>CoC Housing Stability Objective: 80%</p> <p>Drawn from System Performance Measures 6 & 7</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10pts. 80-100% 8pts. 77-79.9% 6pts. 70-76.9% 4pts. 65-69.9% 2pts. 60-64.9% 0pts. 0-59.9%</p>	
<p>3c. Increased Income (SPM 4): The percentage of participants who maintain or increase earned or unearned income between entry and follow up/exit.</p> <p>Drawn from System Performance Measure 4</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10pts. 75% - 100% 8pts. 50- 74.9% 6pts. 20 - 49.9% 4pts. 10 - 19.9% 2pts. 5.0 - 9.9% 0 pts. 0 - 4.9%</p>	
<p>3d. Mainstream Benefits: The percentage of adults with at least one non-cash mainstream benefit by follow up/exit.</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>5pts. 85 – 100% 4pts. 70 – 84.9% 3pts. 55 – 69.9% 2pts. 40 – 54.9% 1pt. 25 – 39.9% 0pts. 0 – 24.9%</p>	
<p>3e. Health Insurance: The percentage of participants with at least one source of health insurance by follow up/exit</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>5pts. 85 – 100% 4pts. 70 – 84.9% 3pts. 55 – 69.9% 2pts. 40 – 54.9% 1pt. 25 – 39.9% 0pts. 0 – 24.9%</p>	

4. Budget and Cost Effectiveness

(15 points possible)

	Possible Points	Scale	Total Points
<p>4a. Budget and Cost Effectiveness: Does the proposed budget reflect sufficient resources to accomplish project goals in a cost-effective manner?</p> <p>For PSH projects, is the cost per household served consistent with the type of programming provided?</p> <p>For other project types, is the cost per permanent housing outcome consistent with the type of programming provided?</p>	5		
<p>4b. De-Obligation: Has HUD de-obligated funds <i>in the past three years</i> because of under-spending or untimely drawdowns/invoicing?</p>	5	Award up to 5 points based on spending and timely drawdowns/invoicing over the past three years.	
<p>4c. Grant Draw Downs or Invoices: Were grant funds drawn down/invoiced over the past grant year?</p>	5	5 pts. 90-100% drawn down/invoiced 4 pts. 80-89% 3 pts. 70-79% 2 pts. 60-69% 1 pt. 50-59% 0 pts. 0-49%	

5. Agency/Collaborative Capacity

(15 points possible)

	Possible Points	Scale	Total Points
5a. HUD findings: Does the program have any outstanding HUD findings and/or financial audit findings? Has HUD de-obligated any of the agency's/program's grant funds <i>because of monitoring findings</i> ?	5		
5b. Participation in CoC Activities: Does the agency participate in the Continuum of Care?	10	Award 6 points if attend monthly CoC General and/or Board meetings Award 4 points if participated in a committee and/or attended trainings throughout the year	

6. HMIS/Comparable Database Data Quality

(10 points possible)

	Possible Points	Scale	Total Points
6a. Percentage of null or missing values	5	5pts. 0-4.9% 4pts. 5-9.9% 3pts. 10-14.9% 0pts. 16-100%	
6b. Percentage of leavers who exit to a known destination; projects with no leavers score 5 points	2	2pts. 90-100% 1pts. 85-89.9% 0pts. 0-84.9%	

Points Earned: _____